





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/507,215	5 02/18/2000 James G Douvikas		James G Douvikas	10992822-1	7827	
22879	7590	06/02/2003				
	-	RD COMPANY	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				WINTER, JOHN M		
FOR I COL	LINS, CO	80327-2400		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				3621		
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>					
, • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/507,215	DOUVIKAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John M Winter	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 A</u>	nril 2003						
	s action is non-final.						
,—		osecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	oloodon roquilonione.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	,, 20 0.0.0.33 120						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status

Claims 1-38 are pending

Response to Arguments

The applicants arguments filed on April 17, 2003 have been fully considered.

The amended claims are rejected in view of the newly discovered references Yoshinaga (US Patent 5,962,829) and de l'Etraz et al.(US Patent 6,073,138). See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9-12, 17-20, 25-28 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wesinger Jr (US Patent 5,778,367) in view of Talati et al.(US Patent 5,903,878) and further in view of Microsoft SQL Server 6.5 Unleashed and further in view of de l'Etraz et al.(US Patent 6,073,138) and further in view of Yoshinaga (US Patent 5,962,829).

As per claim 1,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367)discloses a method of providing access to a collection of electronic business cards comprising the steps of:

providing an electronic business card file Web site to a user; (column 3, lines 9-16, also column 7 lines 39-42)

allowing the creation of an electronic business card file by the user using said Web site, said creation comprising:

allowing the user to enter information into a plurality of fields;(figure 2L) storing said information; (column 4, lines 6-10)

allowing the user to search for one or more records; (Figure 2K, also column 5, lines 53-56)

allowing the user to view said records; (Figure 2N, also column 6 lines 10-15)

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "sending an authentication email to the user, wherein a reply to said authentication email is required to complete said creation" Talati et al. ('878) discloses "sending an authentication email to the user, wherein a reply to said authentication email is required to complete said creation" (column 3, lines 20-48) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the Talati et al. ('878) method in order to prevent fraud.

Art Unit: 3621

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "allowing the setting of privacy levels by the user for each said field, said setting comprising selecting one of more than two privacy levels so that the user controls data shown in each said field to another user based upon the selected privacy level for each said field" Microsoft SQL Server 6.5 Unleashed discloses "allowing the setting of privacy levels by the user for each said field, said setting comprising selecting one of more than two privacy levels so that the user controls data shown in each said field to another user based upon the selected privacy level for each said field" It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the Microsoft SQL Server 6.5 Unleashed method in order provide effective security for the user

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "to export electronic business card data from said records to a file readable by a contact management program" de l'Etraz et al. ('138) discloses "to export electronic business card data from said records to a file readable by a contact management program" (column 15, lines 11-40) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the de l'Etraz et al. ('138) method in order to create cross platform compatibility, thusly increasing the value of the system.

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "Allowing the user to indicate a location of the user prior to an expiration date" Yoshinaga ('829) discloses "Allowing the user to indicate a location of the user prior to an expiration date" (column 6, lines 62-66) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the Yoshinaga ('829) method in order to prevent users from accessing obsolete information.

As per claims 9, 17, and 25, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 1

As per claim 2,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367)discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein said electronic business card file Web site is accessible from the Internet.(column 3 lines 9-16)

As per claims 10, 18, and 26, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 2

As per claim 3,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses the method of Claim 1

Official Notice is taken that "electronic business card file Web site is accessible from an intranet isolated from the Internet by a firewall security device" is common and well known in prior art in reference to internet security. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to isolate the intranet from the Internet by a firewall security device because this is an effective to protect the intranet's applications from "hacker" type attackes.

As per claims 11, 19, and 27, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 3

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 4,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses the method of Claim 1

wherein said electronic business card file Web site is accessible from a web browser. (column 3 lines 9-16)

As per claims 12, 20, and 28, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 4

Claim 5, 6, 13, 14, 21, 22, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wesinger Jr (US Patent 5,778,367) as applied to claims 1, 9, 17, and 25 above, and further in view of WSD Application notes.

As per claim 5,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses the method of Claim 1,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "Web site is accessible from a personal digital assistant." The WSD Application notes discloses "Web site is accessible from a personal digital assistant." (paragraph 2). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger et al. ('367) method with the WSD Application notes method in order to provide access to a website from a PDA, which would allow the website to be utilized by a greater number of transient people.

As per claims 13, 21, and 29, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 5

As per claim 6,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses the method of Claim 1,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "Web site is accessible from a browser enabled telephone." The WSD Application notes discloses "Web site is accessible from a browser enabled telephone." (paragraph 3). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger et al. ('367) method with the WSD Application notes method in order to provide access to a website from a browser enabled telephone, which would allow the website to be utilized by a greater number of transient people.

As per claims 14, 22, and 30, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 6

As per claim 37,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses the method of Claim 1

Official Notice is taken that "editing the electronic business card to permit a temporary location pointer to the user" is common and well known in prior art in reference to computer programs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to edit the electronic business card to permit a temporary location pointer to the user because this allows the card owner to receive contacts generated by database usage.

As per claim 39, this claim is parallel with respect to claim 37.

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 7, 8, 15,16, 23, 24, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wesinger Jr (US Patent 5,778,367) as applied to claims 1, 9, 17, and 25 above, and further in view of Mehovic(US Patent 6,122,642).

As per claim 7,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses the method of Claim 1,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "the electronic business card file web site is accessible by spoken commands." Mehovic ('642) discloses "the electronic business card file web site is accessible by spoken commands." (column 5, lines 31-40). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger et al. ('367) method the Mehovic ('642) method in order to provide access to a website from a telephone, which would allow the website to be utilized by a greater number of transient people.

As per claims 15, 23 and 31, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 7

As per claim 8,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses the method of Claim 1,

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "first format response scheme to the user comprises audio playback." Mehovic ('642) discloses "first format response scheme to the user comprises audio playback" (column 5, lines 31-40). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger et al. ('367) method with the Mehovic ('642) method in order to provide access to a website from a telephone, which would allow the website to be utilized by a greater number of transient people.

As per claims 16, 24 and 32, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 8

Claims 33 –36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wesinger Jr (US Patent 5,778,367) in view of Talati et al.(US Patent 5,903,878) and further in view of Microsoft SQL Server 6.5 Unleashed and further in view of Mehovic (US Patent 6,122,642).

As per claim 33,

56)

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) discloses a method of providing access to a collection of electronic business cards comprising the steps of:

providing an electronic business card file Web site to a user; (column 3, lines 9-16, also column 7 lines 39-42)

allowing the creation of an electronic business card file by the user using said Web site, said creation comprising:

allowing the user to enter information into a plurality of fields;(figure 2L) storing said information; (column 4, lines 6-10)

allowing the user to search for one or more records; (Figure 2K, also column 5, lines 53-

allowing the user to view said records; (Figure 2N, also column 6 lines 10-15)

Art Unit: 3621

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "sending an authentication email to the user, wherein a reply to said authentication email is required to complete said creation" Talati et al. ('878) discloses "sending an authentication email to the user, wherein a reply to said authentication email is required to complete said creation" (column 3, lines 20-48) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the Talati et al. ('878) method in order to prevent fraud.

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "allowing the setting of privacy levels by the user for each said field, said setting comprising selecting one of more than two privacy levels so that the user controls data shown in each said field to another user based upon the selected privacy level for each said field" Microsoft SQL Server 6.5 Unleashed discloses "allowing the setting of privacy levels by the user for each said field, said setting comprising selecting one of more than two privacy levels so that the user controls data shown in each said field to another user based upon the selected privacy level for each said field" It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the Microsoft SQL Server 6.5 Unleashed method in order provide effective security for the user

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "the electronic business card file web site is accessible by spoken commands." Mehovic ('642) discloses "the electronic business card file web site is accessible by spoken commands." (column 5, lines 31-40). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger et al. ('367) method the Mehovic ('642) method in order to provide access to a website from a telephone, which would allow the website to be utilized by a greater number of transient people.

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "to export electronic business card data from said records to a file readable by a contact management program" de l'Etraz et al. ('138) discloses "to export electronic business card data from said records to a file readable by a contact management program" (column 15, lines 11-40) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the de l'Etraz et al. ('138) method in order to create cross platform compatibility, thusly increasing the value of the system.

Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) does not specifically disclose "Allowing the user to indicate a location of the user prior to an expiration date" Yoshinaga ('829) discloses "Allowing the user to indicate a location of the user prior to an expiration date" (column 6, lines 62-66) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Wesinger Jr, et al. ('367) method with the Yoshinaga ('829) method in order to prevent users from accessing obsolete information.

As per claims 34, 35 and 36, these claims are parallel with respect to claim 33

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW May 19, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600